

Unitary Block Designs*

D. E. TAYLOR

*Department of Mathematics, La Trobe University, Bundoora, Victoria, Australia 3083**Communicated by Marshall Hall, Jr.*

Received May 10, 1972

Given a projective plane \mathfrak{E} over the field of q^2 elements and a unitary polarity π of \mathfrak{E} it is possible to construct the well-known unitary design \mathfrak{U} whose points are the absolute points of π and whose blocks are the non-absolute lines of π . A relation of perpendicularity is defined between blocks and it is shown that this relation can be described in terms of the incidence structure of \mathfrak{U} . The projective plane \mathfrak{E} together with the polarity π can then be reconstructed from the design \mathfrak{U} in such a way that any automorphism of \mathfrak{U} extends to a collineation of \mathfrak{E} which commutes with π .

1. INTRODUCTION

Recently, M. O'Nan [4] considered the unitary design associated with the three-dimensional unitary group over a finite field of q^2 elements and showed that 1st automorphism group is $\text{P}\Gamma\text{L}(3, q^2)$. This note uses ideas of O'Nan [4] and Dembowski and Hughes [2] to show how the projective plane together with its unitary polarity may be reconstructed directly from the unitary design. The main result of O'Nan [4] is obtained as a corollary.

The notation and results of Dembowski [1, §1.4] will be freely used.

2. THE UNITARY DESIGN

This section contains the basic facts about three-dimensional unitary geometry that will be needed later. More details are to be found in Dembowski [1, §1.4] or Lüneburg [3, §10].

Let K be the field $\text{GF}(q^2)$, where q is the power of a prime and let $K_1 = \text{GF}(q)$ be the fixed field of the automorphism $x \rightarrow \bar{x} = x^q$ of K .

* This research was partially supported by a CSIRO Overseas Studentship at the Mathematical Institute, 24-29 St. Giles, Oxford.

Let V be a three-dimensional vector space over K and let s be a non-degenerate sesquilinear form on V such that $s(x, y) = \overline{s(y, x)}$ for all $x, y \in V$.

Given a subspace U of V we define the polar subspace of U by

$$U^\pi = \{x \in V \mid s(x, U) = 0\}.$$

The correspondence $\pi: U \rightarrow U^\pi$ is a unitary polarity of the projective plane $\mathfrak{E} = \mathfrak{P}(V)$. A point p of \mathfrak{E} is *absolute* if p is incident with its polar line p^π . Similarly, a line L of \mathfrak{E} is *absolute* if L is incident with its polar point L^π . The set of absolute points of \mathfrak{E} will be denoted by Ω . It follows from Dembowski [1, 1.4.47] that

$$|\Omega| = q^3 + 1.$$

The group of collineations of \mathfrak{E} leaving Ω invariant is the group $\text{P}\Gamma\text{L}(3, q^2)$ of those collineations of \mathfrak{E} which commute with π (Dembowski [1, p. 44]).

Let us now choose two points of Ω and denote them by the symbols ∞ and 0 . If L is the line determined by 0 and ∞ we may choose vectors e_1, e_2 , and e_3 in V which represent the points $0, L^\pi$ and ∞ , respectively, and which satisfy the following:

$$\begin{aligned} s(e_1, e_1) &= s(e_3, e_3) = s(e_1, e_2) = s(e_2, e_3) = 0, \\ s(e_2, e_2) &= s(e_1, e_3) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, e_1, e_2 , and e_3 form a basis for V and, if $p \in \mathfrak{E}$ has homogeneous coordinates (x_1, x_2, x_3) with respect to this basis, then $p \in \Omega$ if and only if $x_1\bar{x}_3 + x_2\bar{x}_2 + x_3\bar{x}_1 = 0$. Since ∞ and 0 were chosen arbitrarily in Ω it also follows that $\text{P}\Gamma\text{U}(3, q^2)$ acts doubly transitively on Ω .

Let L_∞ be the line ∞^π and let \mathfrak{A} be the affine plane obtained by deleting L_∞ from \mathfrak{E} . If $p \in \mathfrak{A}$, then $x_1 \neq 0$ and p has affine coordinates (x, y) , where $x = x_2x_1^{-1}$ and $y = x_3x_1^{-1}$. In the next section, it will be convenient to refer to the lines of \mathfrak{E} by their equations in \mathfrak{A} . If N and Tr are, respectively, the norm and trace from K to K_1 , then

$$\Omega = \{\infty\} \cup \{(x, y) \in \mathfrak{A} \mid N(x) + \text{Tr}(y) = 0\}.$$

It follows easily from this description that any line of \mathfrak{E} which contains ∞ is either the absolute line L_∞ or is a non-absolute line which is incident with $q + 1$ points of Ω . Since ∞ can be any point of Ω there are $(q^3 + 1)q^2/(q + 1) = q^2(q^2 - q + 1)$ lines which meet Ω in $q + 1$ points.

These lines together with the $q^3 + 1$ absolute lines (which meet Ω in just one point) account for all the lines of \mathfrak{E} . We may therefore form a design \mathfrak{U} whose point set is Ω , whose set \mathfrak{B} of blocks is the set of non-absolute lines, and whose incidence relation is the restriction of that of \mathfrak{E} (Dembowski [I, p. 54]). This is the unitary design associated with \mathfrak{E} and π . Its parameters are

$$v = q^3 + 1, \quad b = q^2(q^2 - q + 1), \quad r = q^2, \quad k = q + 1, \quad \lambda = 1.$$

If L and M are non-absolute lines we say that L and M are *perpendicular* and write $L \perp M$ whenever L^π is incident with M (equivalently, whenever M^π is incident with L) (Dembowski [I, p. 155]).

3. THE CONSTRUCTION OF (\mathfrak{E}, π) FROM \mathfrak{U}

The main task of this section is to show that \perp is determined by the incidence relation of \mathfrak{U} . It is then easy to reconstruct \mathfrak{E} and π from \mathfrak{U} and \perp . We first establish two lemmas:

LEMMA 3.1. *Any line L of \mathfrak{B} is perpendicular to $q^2 - q$ other lines of \mathfrak{B} and every point of Ω is incident with just one of these $q^2 - q + 1$ lines.*

Proof. Let us set $p = L^\pi$ and let p_1, \dots, p_{q+1} be the points of Ω incident with L . Then $p \notin \Omega$ and p is incident with p_i^π for $1 \leq i \leq q + 1$. Since the lines p_i^π are absolute, the non-absolute lines perpendicular to L are the $(q^2 + 1) - (q + 1)$ lines incident with p which meet L outside Ω . If p' is any point of Ω , then either p' is incident with L or the line determined by p and p' is perpendicular to L . Since each line is incident with $q + 1$ points of Ω , it follows that each point of Ω not incident with L is incident with a unique line perpendicular to L .

LEMMA 3.2 (O'Nan [4]). *For $a \in K$, $\lambda \in K_1$, and $\lambda \neq 0$, the set $C = \{z \in N(z - a) = \lambda\}$ has $q + 1$ elements and $a = \sum_{z \in C} z$.*

Proof. Since $\text{Ker } N = q + 1$, C has $q + 1$ elements. Now choose $\mu \in N$ such that $N(\mu) = \lambda^{-1}$ and put

$$D = \mu C - a\mu = \{\zeta \mid N(\zeta) = 1\}.$$

Thus D is the set of all $(q + 1)$ -th roots of unity and therefore $\sum_{\zeta \in D} \zeta = 0$. The lemma now follows from the equation

$$0 = \sum_{z \in C} \mu(z - a) = \mu \left(\sum_{z \in C} z - (q + 1)a \right).$$

THEOREM 3.3. *Let L be a non-absolute line and let \mathfrak{M} be a set of $q^2 - q$ non-absolute lines such that any point of Ω not incident with L is incident with just one line of \mathfrak{M} . Then \mathfrak{M} is the set of lines perpendicular to L if and only if for each point p of Ω incident with L the set \mathfrak{N}^p of non-absolute lines $\neq L$ which are incident with p can be partitioned into $q - 1$ subsets $\mathfrak{N}_1^p, \dots, \mathfrak{N}_{q-1}^p$ and \mathfrak{M} can be partitioned into $q - 1$ subsets $\mathfrak{M}_1^p, \dots, \mathfrak{M}_{q-1}^p$ such that the following two conditions hold:*

- (i) $\mathfrak{M}_i^p = q$ and $\mathfrak{N}_i^p = q + 1$, for $1 \leq i \leq q - 1$.
- (ii) If $M \in \mathfrak{M}_i^p$ and $N \in \mathfrak{N}_j^p$, then M meets N in a point of Ω if and only if $i = j$.

Proof. Using the results of the previous section, we may choose the notation so that $p = co$ and L is incident with co and 0 . Thus L corresponds to the line in \mathfrak{A} with equation $x = 0$ and L^π has representative e_2 in the vector space V . Henceforth we shall refer to the lines of \mathfrak{E} by their equations in \mathfrak{A} . It follows that the lines perpendicular to L have equations $y = b$, where $\text{Tr}(b) \neq 0$ and the lines of \mathfrak{N}^∞ have equations $x = a$, where $a \neq 0$.

Let us suppose the field K_1 to be $\{0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{q-1}\}$ and let \mathfrak{Q} denote the set of lines perpendicular to L . If we define \mathfrak{L}_i^∞ to be the subset of \mathfrak{Q} whose lines have equations $y = b$, where $\text{Tr}(b) = -\lambda_i$ and \mathfrak{N}_i^∞ to be the subset of \mathfrak{N}^∞ whose lines have equations $x = a$ where $N(a) = \lambda_i$, for $1 \leq i \leq q - 1$, then the conditions of the theorem are satisfied with \mathfrak{Q} in place of \mathfrak{M} .

Now suppose that \mathfrak{M} is a set of lines satisfying the conditions of the theorem. Since L has equation $x = 0$, the lines of \mathfrak{M} must have equations $y = a_i x + b_i$, where $a_i, b_i \in K$, for $1 \leq i \leq q^2 - q$, and we may suppose the lines of \mathfrak{M}_1^∞ to be $y = a_i x + b_i$, for $1 \leq i \leq q$. The lines of \mathfrak{N}_1^∞ are $x = c_i$, for $1 \leq i \leq q + 1$ and it follows from condition (ii) that the elements c_1, \dots, c_{q+1} all satisfy the q equations

$$N(x) + \text{Tr}(a_i x + b) = 0, \quad 1 \leq i \leq q.$$

Since these equations may be written in the form

$$N(x + \bar{a}_i) = N(a_i) - \text{Tr}(b_i), \quad 1 \leq i \leq q,$$

it follows from Lemma 3.2 that we have $a = \dots = a = a$, say, and $b_1 + \bar{b}_1 = \dots = b_q = \mu$, say.

From $\mathfrak{M}_1^\infty = q$ it follows that for some j we have $\mathfrak{M}_1^\infty \cap \mathfrak{M}_j^0 > 1$ and we may therefore suppose that $y = ax + b_1$ and $y = ax + b_2$ are lines of $\mathfrak{M}_1^\infty \cap \mathfrak{M}_j^0$, where $b_1 \neq b_2$. Now the lines of \mathfrak{N}_j^0 have equations $y = d_i x$, where $d_i \neq 0$, for $1 \leq i \leq q + 1$. The line $y = d_i x$ meets the

line $y = ax + b_k$, $k = 1, 2$ in the point $(b_k(d_i - a)^{-1}, ab_k(d_i - a)^{-1} + b_k)$, provided $d_k \neq a$. Since each line of \mathfrak{M}_j^0 meets each line of \mathfrak{N}_j^0 in a point of Ω it follows that the elements $e_i = (d_i - a)^{-1}$, $1 \leq i \leq q + 1$ are all solutions of the equations

$$N(x + \bar{a}b_i^{-1}) = N(ab_k^{-1}) - \text{Tr}(b_k^{-1}),$$

where k is 1 or 2.

By Lemma 3.2 we obtain $\bar{a}b_1^{-1} = \bar{a}b_2^{-1}$ and since $b_1 \neq b_2$ we must have $a = 0$. Moreover, \mathfrak{M}_1^∞ was an arbitrary element of the partition so we have shown the lines in \mathfrak{M} have equations $y = \mathbf{b}$, where $\text{Tr}(\mathbf{b}) \neq 0$. But these are just the lines perpendicular to \mathbf{L} and the theorem is proved.

COROLLARY 3.4. *Any automorphism of the design \mathfrak{U} preserves \perp .*

Having shown that the relation of perpendicularity in \mathfrak{U} can be defined by means of incidence we go on to construct a projective plane from \mathfrak{U} whose relation of incidence depends only on incidence in \mathfrak{U} .

CONSTRUCTION 3.5. For each point $\mathbf{p} \in \Omega$ we introduce a new symbol $[\mathbf{p}]$ and for each line $\mathbf{L} \in \mathfrak{B}$ we introduce a new symbol $[\mathbf{L}]$. We then define

$$\Pi = \Omega \cup \{[\mathbf{L}] \mid \mathbf{L} \in \mathfrak{B}\} \quad \text{and} \quad \Lambda = \mathfrak{B} \cup \{[\mathbf{p}] \mid \mathbf{p} \in \Omega\}.$$

An incidence relation $I \subseteq \Pi \times \Lambda$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{p}I[\mathbf{q}], & \quad \text{if } \mathbf{p} = \mathbf{q}, \\ \mathbf{p}I\mathbf{L}, & \quad \text{if } \mathbf{p} \text{ is incident with } \mathbf{L} \text{ in } \mathfrak{U}, \\ [\mathbf{L}]I[\mathbf{p}], & \quad \text{if } \mathbf{p} \text{ is incident with } \mathbf{L} \text{ in } \mathfrak{U}, \\ [\mathbf{L}]IM, & \quad \text{if } \mathbf{L} \perp \mathbf{M}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q} \in \Omega$, and $\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{M} \in \mathfrak{B}$.

If ϕ is an automorphism of \mathfrak{U} , we can extend ϕ to the incidence structure $\mathfrak{F} = (\Pi, \Lambda, I)$ by defining

$$[\mathbf{p}]\phi = [\mathbf{p}\phi] \quad \text{and} \quad [\mathbf{L}]\phi = [\mathbf{L}\phi],$$

where $\mathbf{p} \in \Omega$ and $\mathbf{L} \in \mathfrak{B}$. It follows from the previous theorem that ϕ extends to an automorphism of \mathfrak{F} . We finally define a bijection $\theta: \mathfrak{F} \rightarrow \mathfrak{E}$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{p}\theta &= \mathbf{p} & \text{and} & & [\mathbf{L}]\theta &= \mathbf{L}, \\ \mathbf{L}\theta &= \mathbf{L} & \text{and} & & [\mathbf{p}]\theta &= \mathbf{p}. \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 3.6. *The map $\theta: \mathfrak{F} \rightarrow \mathfrak{E}$ is an isomorphism of projective planes. Identifying \mathfrak{F} with \mathfrak{E} by means of this isomorphism, any automorphism of \mathfrak{U} is the restriction of a collineation of \mathfrak{E} which commutes with the polarity π .*

Proof. The map θ is easily verified to be an isomorphism of incidence structures, hence \mathfrak{F} is a projective plane. The polarity \mathbf{p} of \mathfrak{F} which corresponds to π under this isomorphism is given by $p^\rho = [\mathbf{p}]$, $[p]^\rho = \mathbf{p}$, $L^\rho = [\mathbf{L}]$ and $[L]^\rho = \mathbf{L}$. Thus any automorphism of \mathfrak{U} extends to a collineation of \mathfrak{F} which commutes with \mathbf{p} and hence any automorphism of \mathfrak{U} is the restriction of a collineation of \mathfrak{F} which commutes with \mathbf{p} . Identifying \mathfrak{F} with \mathfrak{E} we obtain the theorem. The main result of O’Nan [4] is now obtained as

COROLLARY 3.1. *The automorphism group of \mathfrak{U} is $\text{P}\Gamma\text{L}(3, q^2)$.*

Remark. In $\text{P}\Gamma\text{L}(3, q^2)$ the stabilizer of a line $\mathbf{L} \in \mathfrak{B}$ is the centralizer of the subgroup W_L which fixes \mathbf{L} pointwise. It is not hard to see that $\mathbf{L} \perp A_4$ if and only if $[W_L, W_M] = 1$. Moreover, when q is odd, W_L contains a unique involution i_L and then $\mathbf{L} \perp M$ if and only if $[i_L, i_M] = \mathbf{1}$.

REFERENCES

1. P. DEMBOWSKI, "Finite Geometries," Springer-Verlag, New York, 1968.
2. P. DEMBOWSKI AND D. R. HUGHES, On finite inversive planes, *J. London Math. Soc.* 40 (1965), 171-182.
3. H. LÜNEBURG, "Transitive Erweiterungen endlicher Permutationsgruppen" (Lecture Notes in Mathematics No. 84), Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1969.
4. M. O’NAN, Automorphisms of unitary block designs, *J. Algebra* 20 (1973), 495-511.